IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

MICHAEL CHAD CORLEY,)	
B)	
Petitioner,)	
)	No. 3:18-cv-00485
V.)	JUDGE RICHARDSON
)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
)	

ORDER

Pending before the Court is a Motion Under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 To Vacate, Set Aside, Or Correct Sentence (Doc. No. 1), filed by the Petitioner, *pro se*. The Government has filed a Response (Doc. No. 6) to the Motion. For the reasons set forth in the accompanying Memorandum, the Petitioner's Motion To Vacate (Doc. No. 1) is **DENIED**, and this action is **DISMISSED**.

This Order shall constitute the judgment in this case pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 58.

Should the Petitioner give timely notice of an appeal from this Memorandum Opinion and Order, such notice shall be treated as an application for a certificate of appealability, 28 U.S.C. 2253(c), which the Court will not issue because the Petitioner has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. *Castro v. United States*, 310 F.3d 900 (6th Cir. 2002).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ELI RICHARDSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE